



## **Addendum No. 2**

To: Interested Proposers

From: Cindy Klotz, Project Manager  
City of Mobile Architectural Engineering Department

Re: City of Mobile  
**New Animal Services Facilities**  
Request for Qualifications  
Project #BG-054-22

Date: August 10, 2022

This Addendum forms a part of, and modifies and clarifies, the Request for Qualifications for the above referenced project.

### **General:**

**Clarifications: N/A**

### **RFI's:**

1. Question: In the RFQ, under section 4 scope of work, phase 1, task 2, item 5 they mention a needs assessment has been done. Can we get a copy of that document?  
Answer: Not at this time. The assessment will be shared with the selected consultant.
2. Question: The 3-month timeline to do the project from needs assessment and public input/programming to full CDs for bidding is extremely aggressive. I understand they have made this a priority project. Public input usually requires weeks of notice and reports to city council that all take time. This first phase of needs assessment and public input with public notice and meetings could take 3 months alone. Can we get some clarification on the expectation of the timeline?  
Answer: Please make some suggestion on the timeline that you and your team would find reasonable. The timeline we put out is extremely aggressive, which reflects the priority the

administration is placing on the project. If your team needs more time, then please state roughly how much in your Proposal.

3. Question: Is there an estimated budget for this project?  
Answer: There is not yet a firm budget. However, the Administration has indicated that the activities in the new facility are important, and we expect the project to be fully funded.
4. Question: What are the City of Mobile Animal Shelter's intake numbers? Current Capacity?  
Answer: Unfortunately, there are no reliable statistics to share. The current numbers are too high, and the current capacity is too low. A large portion of the consultants work will be to determine what is appropriate for a City of this size. See also Addendum #1.
5. Question: On Page 15 of the RFQ, #10 discusses the DBE status of the firms/consultants. Are these points awarded for responding to the question and filling out the City of Mobile Contracting and Major Supplier Plan, or are they for engaging firms with DBE status?  
Answer: Submitting the 5 page Contracting and Major Supplier Plan is a requirement for your proposal to be considered. Points will be awarded on the basis of whether the 15% goal is met by your team, or if it's under or over. Please make sure to document, in writing, any outreach efforts to DBE consultants and vendors.
6. Question: Can the City share the proposed site locations?  
Answer: Not at this time. They are still in flux.
7. Question: Are the sites zoned correctly? If not, will we be the responsible party in getting it re-zoned?  
Answer: We do not know at this time if zoning on the various sites is correct or if re-zoning would be required. No, responsibility will lie with the City. However, the consultant will be expected to assist in a very minor way, primarily providing schematic site layouts showing the new development will comply.
8. Question: Should the building be designed per IBC and/or FM?  
Answer: The building shall be designed in accordance with all adopted City of Mobile Codes. Note that the City is likely to adopt a newer version of the IBC within approximately 14 months.  
<https://www.buildmobile.org/forms-and-applications/#permitting&inspections>
9. Question: What life expectancy should we use?  
Answer: 20 years minimum.

**END OF ADDENDUM NO. 2**